



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



## Advances in Integrative Medicine

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/aimed](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/aimed)

# The effect of *Echinacea* spp. on the prevention or treatment of COVID-19 and other respiratory tract infections in humans: A rapid review

Monique Aucoin<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kieran Cooley<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Paul Richard Saunders<sup>a</sup>, Jenny Care<sup>b</sup>, Dennis Anheyer<sup>d,e</sup>, Daen N. Medina<sup>b,f</sup>, Valentina Cardozo<sup>a</sup>, Daniella Remy<sup>a</sup>, Nicole Hannan<sup>g</sup>, Anna Garber<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>b</sup> Australian Research Centre in Complementary and Integrative Medicine, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>c</sup> Pacific College of Health Sciences, San Diego, California, USA

<sup>d</sup> National Centre for Naturopathic Medicine, Southern Cross University, Lismore, Australia

<sup>e</sup> Department of Internal and Integrative Medicine, Kliniken Essen-Mitte, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

<sup>f</sup> Torrens University, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>g</sup> Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:  
Available online xxx

Keywords:  
*Echinacea*  
COVID  
Respiratory tract infection  
Rapid review  
Coneflower  
Cytokine  
Inflammation  
Herbal medicine  
Botanical

### ABSTRACT

**Brief overview:** Current evidence suggests that *Echinacea* supplementation may decrease the duration and severity of acute respiratory tract infections; however, no studies using *Echinacea* in the prevention or treatment of conditions similar to COVID-19 have been identified. Few adverse events were reported, suggesting that this herbal therapy is reasonably safe. Because *Echinacea* can increase immune function, there is a concern that it could worsen over-activation of the immune system in cytokine storm; however, clinical trials show that *Echinacea* decreases levels of immune molecules involved in cytokine storm. **Verdict:** *Echinacea* supplementation may assist with the symptoms of acute respiratory infections (ARI) and the common cold, particularly when administered at the first sign of infection; however, no studies using *Echinacea* in the prevention or treatment of conditions similar to COVID-19 have been identified. Previous studies have reported that *Echinacea* may decrease the severity and/or duration of ARI when taken at the onset of symptoms. The studies reporting benefit used *E. purpurea* or a combination of *E. purpurea* and *E. angustifolia* containing standardized amounts of active constituents.

Few adverse events from the use of *Echinacea* were reported, suggesting that this herbal therapy is reasonably safe. No human trials could be located reporting evidence of cytokine storm when *Echinacea* was used for up to 4 months.

When assessing all human trials which reported changes in cytokine levels in response to *Echinacea* supplementation, the results were largely consistent with a decrease in the pro-inflammatory cytokines that play a role in the progression of cytokine storm and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS), factors that play a significant role in the death of COVID-19 patients. While there is currently no research on the therapeutic effects of *Echinacea* in the management of cytokine storm, this evidence suggests that further research is warranted.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Background

*Echinacea* species are native to North America and have been used by indigenous peoples for a range of illnesses. As an herbal medicine, *Echinacea* has been the subject of significant research

over the past century, particularly with respect to its role in the treatment and prevention of respiratory illnesses. It is one of the most popular natural health products purchased worldwide, with the majority of commercially available products containing *E. purpurea* and/or *E. angustifolia* [1]. Many naturopathic doctors recommend *Echinacea* supplements for immune support. A wide range of reports have described its immuno-modulatory properties including macrophage activation and effects on cytokine expression. Because significant effects on cytokine levels have been observed in response to *Echinacea* use, there is a theoretical

\* Corresponding author at: Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

E-mail address: [maucoin@ccnm.edu](mailto:maucoin@ccnm.edu) (M. Aucoin).

**Table 1**  
Summary of studies examining the effect of *Echinacea* spp. on respiratory tract infections in humans.

Author	Country, WHO Region	Sponsorship source/association	Design (eg Cohort, cross- sectional)	Statistical method (s)	Study Population / Disease or Condition	Echinacea spp. bark of plant	Form of supplement (juice, tincture, capsule)	Extraction Strength and Standardization	Dose	Duration of Treatment	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Control or Placebo	Number Subjects, N in intervention	Measure of Outcome	Outcome
Grimm W et al. (1999)	Germany, European Region	Madaus AG, Cologne/ Philipp- University of Marburg, Germany	DBPC RCT	* A priori measures * Fisher's exact test for b/line categorical variable & incidence of AEs	Patients from a large general practice	Echinacea purplea whole flowering plant (no roots)	Freshly expressed alcohol identical to commercially available Echinacea- Liquidum	Not provided	4 ml 2x/day	8 weeks	1. More than 3 acute infections of any kind within 1 week of recruitment 2. Pregnancy or nursing 3. Use of immunostimulating drugs in preceding 4 weeks 4. Known allergy against coneflowers 5. Severe underlying disease or immunosuppression 6. Inability to give informed consent 7. Unreliability for follow-up as judged by the investigator	Placebo/alcohol/ water solution with artificial colour)	108. Echin = 54 Placebo = 54	# participants with one infection Mean no. of infections/patient	No difference No difference No significant difference	No difference No difference No difference
Melchart D et al. (1998)	Germany, European Region	The Center for Complex-entary Medicine Research; Bavarian Parlament; Plantapharmazie, Gottingen; Germany; Medizinische Klinik, Technische Universität; Biometrisches Zentrum für Therapiestudien	DBPC RCT	* SAS and SPSS for as randomized, ITT & PP populations *	4 military institutions & 1 industrial plant.	Echinacea purplea roots OR Echinacea angustifolia roots	Extract in 30% 1:11 alcohol	2.5 ml 2x/day	12 weeks from Monday to Friday	1.18–65 years 2. Free of acute illness at the time of enrollment 3. written informed consent for study participation	Placebo coloured ethanolic solution	302. E/ August = 103 (3 drop outs) E/ drop outs = 102 (4 drop outs) Placebo = 96 (6 drop outs)	Time until first URTI (time to event) Number of participants with at least 1 infection	No significant difference	No difference No difference	
Hall H et al. (2007)	USA, Region of the Americas	Sponsorship or funding source not stated, a supplement manufacturer provided the active intervention free of charge (with no input to the study and no expectations or agreements)	DBPC RCT parallel group design	ANOVA performed on test data & salivary tests	Non-smoking, active adults 19–46 years subjected to strenuous exercise testing	Echinacea purplea	Capsule containing pressed juice	1.7–2.5:1	8 capsules/ day (2 with each meal and bedtime); each 800 g juice	1. Successful assessment of a medical history, present health status, and 12- lead resting ECG 2. Healthy, habitually active subjects 3. Gave written informed consent for study participation	Placebo prepared in-house; gelatin caps: sugar, sucrose, cornstarch, dental supplements brown sugar, molasses)	32. Echin = 18 Placebo = 14	s-IgA concentrations, saliva flow rate, secretion rate of s- IgA (pre- and post- exercise and after 28 days of intervention)	Baseline: significant exercise induced reduction in s-IgA in both groups (Control –69 %; Ech –73 % & secretion rate of s-IgA (Control –79 %; Ech – 53 %) p < 0.05) End: placebo grp experienced decrease in s-IgA compared to Ech group (Control –45 %; Ech –7% & secretion rate of s-IgA (Control –45 %; Experimental –7%, p = 0.004).	No difference	

O'Neil et al. (2008)	USA, The Region of the Americas	grant 5 D39 HP 00023-09 from the Health Resources and Services Administration Border Health Education and Training Center; Medication used was donated by Natures Resource.	DBPC RCT	A prospective power analysis was calculated. Wilson rank sum test was used to compare the treatment and placebo groups for each of the 8 symptoms over 8 weeks; with max poss symptom days @66. Missing data from drop out precluded intention -to treat- analysis	Echinacea purpurea, 300 mg capsule	Volunteers recruited from hospital personnel; This population was expected to have more equitable exposure to cold/influenza.	3 capsules 2x/day daily, 300 mg per capsule	8 weeks	1. Healthy adults working in the University Medical Center Family Health Center 2. Currently lactation 4. Currently using echinacea 5. Allergies to echinacea and/or parsley	Parsley, 300 mg per capsule	90. Enrolled Placebo: n = 45; Echinacea: n = 45.	Number of days during that week in which they experienced sore throat, runny nose, headache, nasal congestion, muscle aches, cough, and fever	No difference in total symptoms or any individual symptom.			
Jawad et al. (2012)	UK, European Region	Unclear, possibly the product manufacturer	DBPC RCT	Chi-squared	Liquid	Healthy adults observed for common cold	Echinacea purpurea (A Vogel Echinaforce), 95% herb, 5% root	95 % herba (DER = 1:12) and 5% roots (DER = 1:11) 36/day (2400 mg of extract per day) during acute stages of a cold: 0.9 m 5x/day (4000 mg extract)	Prevention: 0.9 mL/dose to Nov 2009) standardized to contain 5 mg/100 g of dodecatraenoic acid isobutylanide	4 months (Oct 2009) to Nov 2009)	1. Adults in good physical health 2. Experience ≥ 2+ colds per year	1. Ineffective contraception 2. Participation in another study 3. Pregnancy or lactation 4. Currently using cold or antimicrobial medication 5. Alcohol or drug abuse 6. Psychiatric disorder, epilepsy, or suicidal ideation 7. Planned surgery 8. Serious chronic disease that could affect absorption, metabolism, and/or elimination 9. AIDS or another autoimmune disease 10. Diabetes 11. Steroid-treated asthma 12. Medically-treated allergy/astopy 13. Allergy to echinacea	755, ech placebo 362	Safety/adverse events Number of colds	Days of having a cold episodes and episode days was 28% lower in tx grp ( $P < 0.05$ , chi- square test)	Significantly fewer cold episodes were in the tx group vs placebo, and fewer recurring episodes ( $P <$ 0.05, chi-square test)
Tirabong E et al. (2012)	Australia, Western Pacific Region	Manufacturers of the interventions funded two of the authors leveraged from and Australian Government grant (Griffith University, Australia. Conflict statement not made.	DBPC RCT	Nonparametric Kolmogorov- Smirnov test for median differences in independent samples. 2 × 2 chi-squared test of independence and the Odds Ratio. t-tests and chi-square tests	Echinacea purpurea, Echinacea angustifolia, root	Passengers traveling from Australia to America, Europe, or Africa and back	standardised to 4.4 mg alkylamides	1 tablet per day before depending on age and after travel: 2 tablets per day during travel: 112.5 mg Echinacea 35 days of purpura 6:1 extract (equivalent to 675 mg) and 150 mg E. angustifolia	1.18–65 years of age 2. In good general health 3. Suffered from no previous or current serious illness 3 to 9 weeks (if travel)	1. Presence of a known plant allergy 2. Suffering from respiratory diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD) 3. Suffering from any other condition that could compromise the study or the participants health (e.g., autoimmune disease, cystic fibrosis)	175, Echinacea n = 88 Placebo n = 87	Wisconsin Upper Respiratory Symptom Survey (WURSS-44) to assess upper respiratory symptom-related quality of life, administered: baseline, post travel, 4 week follow up.	4 weeks post travel: no difference in WURSS- 44 scores ( $P = 0.18$ ). During travel: the placebo group had border line significantly higher WURSS-44 scores compared to the Ech group (26 versus 13, $P =$ 0.05). Significantly reduced percentage of respiratory disorder symptom-affected participants in the Ech placebo groups ( $P < 0.05$ ).			

Please cite this article in press as: M. Aucoin, et al., The effect of *Echinacea* spp. on the prevention or treatment of COVID-19 and other respiratory tract infections in humans: A rapid review, *Adv Integr Med* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aimed.2020.07.004>

Table 1 (Continued)

Author	Country, WHO Region	Sponsorship source/association	Design (eg cohort, cross-sectional)	Statistical method(s)	Study Population / Disease or Condition	Echinacea spp. part of plant	Form supplement (juice, tincture, capsule)	Extraction and Standardization	Dose	Duration of Treatment	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Control or Placebo	Number Subjects, N in intervention and placebo	Measure of Outcome	Outcome
Turner 2005	USA, region of the Americas	National Center for Complimentary and Alternative Medicine of the NIH	DBPC RCT	6 pairwise comparisons with between groups using chi-square analysis. Multiple logistic regression analysis including covariates treatment group difference by students t or <2 analysis	Healthy volunteers exposed to rhinovirus experimentally	E. angustifolia root - 3 versions with supercritical CO <sub>2</sub> , 60 % ethanol or 20% ethanol	tincture	1.5 mL tincture containing 300 mg of echinacea root 3x/day	Either 1/7 day before viral challenge	1. Healthy young adults 2. Susceptible to rhinovirus type 39 (based on Ab testing)	1. Existing antibodies to test virus at screening or at day 0	1. Conditions that would affect susceptibility to colds 2. Taking medication known to affect symptoms being measured	419, 7 groups (different extraction methods for herb + prophylaxis vs treatment options)	419, 7 groups	Rate of infection	Echinacea group compared to placebo (43 % versus 57 %, $P = 0.05$ ) during travel, 4 weeks post travel: significantly lower percentage of illness in the Echinacea-treated group compared to placebo (i.e., 25 % versus 38 %), corresponding to ~50 % relative reduction ( $P = 0.03$ )
Sperber	USA, region of the Americas	Madaus Aktiengesellschaft.	DBPC RCT	healthy adults infected with rhinovirus 39	E. Purpura, pressed juice of the above-ground plant parts	tincture, 22 % alcohol (Echinaguard)	2.5 mL tid (no equiv given)	2.5 days prior and 7 days after viral challenge	1. Susceptible to rhinovirus (based on Ab testing)	1. Conditions that would affect susceptibility to colds 2. Taking medication known to affect symptoms being measured	matching placebo	48–24 h each	virus titers	No difference in outcome	Development of infection by measuring increase in Abs or culture virus	Symptom diary
Ishianah F et al. (2011)	Indonesia, South-East Asia Region	The study was supported by Frataram Switzerland Ltd./ University of Indonesia, Persahabatan Hospital, Indonesia, Torze Scientific Geneva Switzerland, Frataram Switzerland Ltd Switzerland	DBPC RCT, three arm, parallel group, single centre trial	*Continuous data, mean SD, differences tested with parametric & non-parametric analyses *	COPD Patients	Echinacea Capsule from dried pressed juice, Mouch (EP), aerial parts	500 mg (or with 10 mg zinc, 15 mg selenium and 50 mg ascorbic acid (EP+))	14 days; At enrolment 500 mg ciprofloxacin bid for 7 days Then randomized to take in addition: Placebo OR EP 1/day 2 wks	1. Patients at least 40 years of age 2. Existing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) to take in addition: 3. An acute exacerbation 1/day 2 wks episode, OR EP + 1/day 2 wks	Composition not stated	120, Placebo n = 35 EP n = 36 EP + n = 37	Duration of exacerbation	CD4, CDS, TNFalpha, interleukins (IL) 1b, 6, and 10 before and after treatment	Adverse events	Study medication was safe and well tolerated with overall 15 adverse events one of which was serious. Among those, sleeping disorders were most frequent and likely related to the lie	

				underlying disease; '(no statistical analysis completed)					
Barrett BP et al. (2002)	USA, The Region of the Americas	U.S. Dept Health & Human Services and NIH, Shaklee Technical provided the products and monetary support (no role in design, conduct, reporting or submission for publication).	DBPC RCT	E. angustif. root (50 %) and E. purp herb (25 %) and root (25 %) Additional ingredients: 49 mg thyme, 31 mg peppermint, 3 mg citric acid	University student population, asked to make contact at first sign of cold/flu symptoms	4 capsules 6 per day * In first 24 h years of age 2, Answer "yes" (6 g) (first day Echinacea) *	1. At least 18 years of age 2. Answer "yes" to listed symptom for >36 h	Duration of illness	No difference
Dorn M et al. (1997)	UK/ Germany and UK; European region	Sponsorship not stated	DBPC RCT	Echinacea pallidae radix	Consecutively seen patients in a family clinic with a clinical indication of URTI	90 drops of liquid (no details of extraction method), in divided doses (not elaborated)	1. Clinical indication of URTI 2. Over 18 years 3. Total symptom score greater than 15	160. Echin n = 80 Placebo n = 80	No significant differences
Goel V et al. (2005)	Canada	The Region of the Americas	DBPC RCT	E. purpurea various parts, proprietary product Echinilin™	Volunteers recruited through media ads in Edmonton and surrounding areas; at onset of cold	5 ml doses taken 8x on Day 1 throughout the first day, followed by 3x per day for the next 6 days	1. Adults over 18 years 2. History of or day 7 more common cold infections as above Doses diluted in half a glass of water.	62. Echin n = 25 Placebo n = 31	Echin group demonstrated significantly lower scores by day 4 compared to placebo group, which was significantly lower by day 7 ( $p < 0.05$ ).
									No significant effects on the distribution of CD3+, CD8+ and CD20+ cells.
									Decrease in CD4+ cells on day 3 ( $p = 0.01$ ) and increase in the CD16+ (NK cells) on day 8 ( $p = 0.05$ ) of echinacea treatment group.
									Both groups increased erythrocytic Cu Zn SOD activity
									(excluded from analysis)

Table 1 (Continued)

Author	Country, WHO Region	Sponsorship source/association	Design (eg Cohort, cross-sectional)	Statistical method (s)	Study Population / Disease or Condition	Echinacea spp. part of plant	Form supplement (juice, tincture, capsule)	Extraction and Standardization	Dose	Duration of Treatment	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Control or Placebo	Number Subjects, N in intervention and placebo	Measure of Outcome	Outcome
Yale et al. (2004)	Canada, The Region of the Americas	Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation	DBPC RCT	*Symptom scores were summarized with means of the 4-point severity scale. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to construct curves for time to symptom resolution in each group. Brookmeyer and Crowley fit median time to resolution. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare the time to resolution between the 2 groups.	Patients were recruited from the Marshfield Clinic system through advertisement in the Marshfield Clinic staff newsletter and through advertisements in local newspapers	E purpurea, aerial portion	freeze-dried pressed juice	standardized for a content of 2.4 % soluble 1,2-D-fructofuranosides	100 mg 3x/day	Up to 14 days, 1 capsule 3 times daily for as long as sneezing and their symptoms remained (max 14 days)	1. Hypersensitivity to Echinacea or history of allergy to plants of the Composite family	lactose placebo capsule	128, Echinacea Group n = 63; Placebo n = 65	Symptom severity	No difference	
Goel V et al. (2004)	Canada, The Region of the Americas	Participants paid an honorarium on completion of the study.	DBPC RCT	*Repeated measures ANOVA with log transformation to adjust for	Volunteers were required to be in good general health and to have	E. purpurea various parts, proprietary product, Echinilin™	*water ethanol extraction of various parts	standardized alkaloids/ cichoric acid/ polysaccharides at concentrations	5 ml dose; 8 doses on first day, 3 doses on	7 Days	1. Volunteers aged 18–65 years 2. Allergy to raw Echinacea 3. Had multiple general health	282 enrolled, 128 caught a cold, and smell like the Echinacea extract but	Symptom severity	Mean severity scores (mean of 7 days) for all specific symptoms, except for cough, were found to be		

Type 3 error or interaction effects	contracted at least two infections of a cold in the past year. Start at onset of a cold	purpura 40% of 0.25/25.5 ethanol: 10 mg/ml doses the first day.	subsequent days	3. Contracted at least 2 infections of a cold in the past year.	sclerosis, tuberculosis, diabetes, cancer, lupus, asthma, fibromyalgia, HIV/AIDS, or cardiovascular disease	contained no detectable alkaloides, chironic acid, or polysaccharides.	n = 69 Total n = 128;								
*one-way ANOVA for treatment effects	followed by four doses per day for the next 6 days.			4. Responded to 4/Taking immunosuppressive drugs such as corticosteroids or cyclosporine			significantly lower in the echinacea group ( $p < 0.05$ ). TIT and PP PP analysis: the overall mean severity scores for runny nose, sore throat, stuffy nose, fatigue, headache, and chills, were found to be 27.25, 22.31, 39 and 44 % ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower in the echinacea than in placebo, respectively.								
*Person correlation for group differences.	throughout the day.			5. Gave written informed consent for study participation			illness resolved in 35 % of the subjects in the echinacea (PP) group at least a 50 % reduction of their maximum TDSS.								
							Ech group: median time of illness was 6.0 days compared to 9.0 days: mean Jackson score decreased more rapidly in the Ech group than in the placebo group ( $P = 0.00$ )								
							61.0 % of the patients in the verum group assessed subjectively that their cold was "shorter than usual" compared to 28.2 % in the placebo group (two-sided $p = 0.007$ )								
							No statistically significant differences between Ech and placebo groups								
							Severity of illness Patients who had developed a complete picture of a common cold								
							Fisher's exact test one-sided $p = 0.062$ , AUC was smaller in the verum group (mean: 36.18, SD: 22.12) than in the placebo group (mean: 51.63, SD: 32.51), indicating a beneficial impact of the active treatment (one-sided $p = 0.008$ )								
Schutten et al (2001)	Germany; European region	Madaus AG	DBPC RCT	Adult male or female patients of a German pharmaceutical company presenting with first signs of URTI	Echinacea pressed juice, stabilized by ethanol	1.7–2.5: 1	5 ml 2x/day	10 Days	1. Had an incipient infection of upper respiratory tract (subjective sensation of having a cold)	placebo	80 FC310 n = 39	Duration of illness and Jackson score			
									2. At least one of the following symptoms: sneezing, rhinorrhea, congestion of the nose, sore throat, cough, headache, malaise, or chilliness during previous 24 h						
									3. Progressive systemic diseases (e.g. tuberculosis, multiple sclerosis, AIDS, HIV infections, other autoimmune diseases)						
									4. Pregnancy and lactation						
									5. Therapy with immunostimulants (herbal immunostimulants, cytokines, thymus fractions)						
									6. Therapy with zinc or antibiotics during two weeks before commencement of the trial						
Barrett 2010 USA, region of the Americas	National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine of the NIH	4 arm RCT, no placebo (blind), ech open label	*predecessor instrument WUSS-21 for a priori power calculations *Box-Cox transformation for skewed distribution * $t$ test and the Mann-Whitney U test for group comparisons * general linear model for treatment effects	new-onset common cold, age 12–80 years	Mediherb tablets containing E. purpurea and E. angustifolia; root	10.2 g of dried echinacea first 24 h, 5.1 g during next 4 days	5 days	Symptoms of cold in past 36 h with score of 2 or higher on Jackson criteria Must be min of 12 yrs and have parental permission if under 18.	history of allergic rhinitis who reported sneezing or itching of the nose or eyes and those with a history of asthma who reported current cough, wheezing, or shortness of breath, pregnant, or history of auto-immune disease or immune deficiency disease	inert ingredients	713, No pill, group n = 73 Unblinded Echinacea Group n = 181 Blinded Placebo Group n = 176 Blinded Echinacea Group n = 183	Area-under-the-curve global severity, based on the Wisconsin Upper respiratory symptom survey	Significantly lower in blinded and open-label echinacea		
Lindenmuth GF et al (2000)	USA, Region of Medicinal's, Inc./	DDBP RCT	Products donated by Traditional Medicinal's, Inc./	Nursing home employees, standard	E. purpurea and E. angustifolia;	equivalent to 1275 mg of dried	5 days of treatment, Drink 5–6	1. Nursing home employees	Eater's Digest tea (6:1)	95, Echinacea n	Relief of symptoms	Significant difference in symptom relief Ech mean = 4.125, SD 5			

**Table 1 (Continued)**

Author	Country, WHO Region	Sponsorship source/association	Design (eg Cohort, cross-sectional)	Statistical method (s)	Study Population / Disease or Condition	Echinacea spp. part of plant	Form of supplement (juice, tincture, capsule)	Extraction Strength and Standardization	Dose	Duration of Treatment	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Control or Placebo	Number Subjects, N in intervention and placebo	Measure of Outcome
the Americas	Rest Haven-York and York College of Pennsylvania.	Conflict statement not made	assignment was used	-test	study at the earliest symptoms of cold or flu: runny nose, scratchy throat, fever, etc	Leaves, flowers, and stems of plant:	herb and root per tea bag -5-6 cups per day	cups on the first day of symptoms titrating to 1 cup by the fifth day.	peppermint, fennel seed, papaya leaf, rosehip, alfalfa leaf that at higher dosage . . . might have an effect but in included amounts serve the purpose of flavor correctives.'	Duration of symptoms	peppermint, fennel seed, papaya leaf, rosehip, alfalfa leaf that at higher dosage . . . might have an effect but in included amounts serve the purpose of flavor correctives.'	peppermint, fennel seed, papaya leaf, rosehip, alfalfa leaf that at higher dosage . . . might have an effect but in included amounts serve the purpose of flavor correctives.'	= 48 Placebo n = 47	0.0593	

concern about its contribution to cytokine storm (also known as cytokine release syndrome) (1). Cytokine storm is a poorly understood phenomenon involving excessive, rapid release of pro-inflammatory cytokines [2]. In COVID-19, cytokine storm can lead to ARDS which carries a 40 % mortality rate [3]. Cytokines associated with cytokine storm include pro-inflammatory interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, IL-1B, IL-12 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) $\alpha$ , while other cytokines, such as IL-10, have established anti-inflammatory effects and a role in downregulating excessive immune activity [2]. In COVID-19 specifically, cytokine storm is a significant factor in driving a more severe clinical course with patients requiring Intensive Care Unit admission showing higher levels of cytokines TNF $\alpha$  and IL-6 [3].

## 2. Search strategy

### 2.1. Research questions

- 1) What is the role of *Echinacea* in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19 and other respiratory tract infections?
- 2) Is there any evidence suggesting that *Echinacea* supplementation could increase the risk of cytokine storm in COVID-19 patients based on the changes in cytokine levels observed in human clinical trials?

### 2.2. Inclusion/exclusion criteria

- 1) Studies were included if they reported human prospective intervention studies sampling adults (aged 18 and over), and assessed the effect of *Echinacea* supplementation on the prevention or treatment of respiratory tract infections. Studies including pediatric populations were excluded.
- 2) Studies were included if they reported human prospective studies sampling adults, and assessed the effect of *Echinacea* supplementation on levels of cytokines which have been identified as playing a role in cytokine storm (interferons, interleukins, chemokines, colony-stimulating factors, tumor necrosis factors) or the incidence of cytokine storm or cytokine release syndrome.

### 2.3. Databases

Medline (Ovid), AMED (Ovid), CINAHL (EBSCO), EMBASE (Ovid)

### 2.4. Search terms (example) -clinical efficacy search

#### 2.4.1. Medline (Ovid)

((Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/ OR randomized controlled trial/ OR Random Allocation/ OR Double Blind Method/ OR Single Blind Method/ OR clinical trial/ OR clinical trial, phase i.pt. OR clinical trial, phase ii.pt. OR clinical trial, phase iii.pt. OR clinical trial, phase iv.pt. OR controlled clinical trial.pt. OR randomized controlled trial.pt. OR multicenter study.pt. OR clinical trial.pt. OR exp Clinical Trials as topic/ OR (clinical adj trial\$).tw. OR ((singl\$ or doubl\$ or treb\$ or tripl\$) adj (blind\$3 or mask\$3)).tw. OR PLACEBOS/ OR placebo\$.tw. OR randomly allocated.tw. OR allocated adj2 random\$).tw.) NOT (letter/ OR historical article/)) AND (*Echinacea* or *Echinacea angustifolia* or *Echinacea purpurea* or *Echinace* or *coneflower*) AND ("avian influenza (H5N1)"/ or "influenza A (H1N1)"/ or Influenza A virus/ or influenza C/ or exp influenza/ or highly pathogenic avian influenza/ or Influenza B virus/ or highly pathogenic avian influenza virus/ or avian influenza virus/ or seasonal influenza/ or "Influenza A virus (H1N1)"/ or Asian influenza/ or swine influenza/ or influenza A/ or

**Table 2**  
Summary of human studies examining effect of *Echinacea* spp. on cytokines.

Author	Country, WHO region	Sponsorship source/ association	Design	Study Population	Echinacea spp	Dose	Duration of treatment	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Control or Placebo	Total Number of subjects, N	Change in interferons (IFN)	Change in interleukins (IL)	Other safety outcomes	
Barrett 2010	USA, Region of the Americas	National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) f. the National Institutes of Health (NIH). MediHerb provided the products and conducted physicochemical analysis but did not contribute financially	Placebo controlled RCT (4 arm)	New onset common cold in people age 12–80	Extracts of E. purpurea and E. angustifolia root	10.2 g of dried echinacea root first 24 h, 5.1 g during each of the next four days; 675 mg E. purpurea root standardized to 2.1 mg alkamides and 600 mg E. angustifolia root standardized to 2.1 mg alkamides	5 days	1. At least 1 of 4 symptoms (nasal discharge, nasal obstruction, sneezing or sore throat) 2. Score of 2 or higher on Jackson criteria	1. Use of antibiotics, antivirals, nasal steroids, decongestants, antihistamines, combination cold formulas, echinacea, zinc or vitamin C. 2. History of allergic rhinitis who reported sneezing or itching of the nose or eyes 3. History of asthma who reported current cough, wheezing or shortness of breath. 4. Self-reported autoimmune and/or immune deficiency diseases 5. Pregnancy	Visual matched placebo containing identical amounts of excipients (calcium acid phosphate, cellulose, sodium starch glycollate, hypromellose silica, sodium stearate)	713	IL-8 in nasal rinse	No difference between Ech group and placebo	No differences between groups in adverse effects (rash, nausea, headache, diarrhea)	
Dall'Acqua 2015	Italy, European Region	Farmaderbe, Pradamano (Udine) and Indena S.p.A. (Milan, Italy) for providing product	Open label	Healthy adults, both genders	Echinacea angustifolia	10 mg of lipophilic extract containing 1 mg 2E,4E,8Z,10E,Z-tetraenoic isobutyrylamides	Single dose	1. Healthy 2. Fasting at baseline	1. Dietary restrictions 2. Allergy to Composite or Grossulariace plants 3. Abnormal liver function 4. Use of medicines during the study	n/a	10	IL-2	Significant decrease from baseline p < 0.001	There was no reporting regarding adverse events	
Dapaz 2014	Italy, European Region		Open label pilot study; some ex vivo analysis	Healthy adults both genders	Echinacea angustifolia (triple standardized extract syrup Polinacea®)	10 mL daily	4 weeks	1. Healthy 2. No dietary restrictions 3. Fasting at baseline	1. Dietary restrictions 2. Allergy to Composite or Grossulariace plants 3. Abnormal liver function 4. Use of medicines during the study	n/a	10	IL-8	Significant decrease from baseline p < 0.05	Significant increase from baseline p = 0.001	
Ishianhi F et al. (2011)	Indonesia, South-East Asia Region	The study was sponsored by Fruatrom Switzerland Ltd./ University of Indonesia, Persahabatan Hospital Indonesia, Tozke Scientific Geneva Switzerland, Fruatrom Switzerland Ltd Switzerland	DBPCRCT, three arm, parallel group, single centre trial	COPD Patients	Echinacea purpurea (L.) Moench (EP), from dried pressed juice of the aerial parts or 500 mg EP with 10 mg zinc, 15 µg selenium and 50 mg ascorbic acid (EP +)	500 mg Echinacea purpurea (L.) Moench (EP) 7 days. Then randomized to take in addition: Placebo OR EP 1/day 2 wks OR EP + 1/day 2 wks	14 days; At enrollment 500 mg ciprofloxacin bid for 7 days. Then randomized to take in addition: Placebo OR EP 1/day 2 wks OR EP + 1/day 2 wks	1. At least 40 years of age 2. Existing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease 3. An acute exacerbation episode (non-gradual increase	1. Asthma, severe immune system disorder; malignancy or haematological disorder, obstructive pulmonary disease caused by other reasons (e.g. tuberculosis), or any other disease with known impact on disease recovery such as	Composition not stated	120 randomized	Plasma IL-2 mRNA	Decreased (p = 0.02)	Decreased (p = 0.02)	one serious AE in each grp: erythema, resolved with antihistamine tx. mild AEs and placebo more common in each grp. most common was insomnia

Table 2 (Continued)

Author	Country, WHO regio	Sponsorship source/ association	Design	Study Population	Echinacea spp	Dose	Duration of treatment	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Control or Placebo	Total Number of Subjects, N	Change in interferons (IFN)	Other safety outcomes
Turner 2005	USA, Americas	National Center for Complimentary and Alternative Medicine of the NIH	DBPCR/CF	Healthy volunteers exposed to rhinovirus experimentally	E. angustifolia root - 3 versions with supercritical CO <sub>2</sub> 60 % ethanol or 20 % ethanol	1.5 mL tincture containing 300 mg of echinacea root	Either 1) 7 days before viral challenge (prophylaxis) or 2) starting at time of viral challenge (treatment) for 5 days	1. Healthy young adults 2. Susceptible to rhinovirus type 39 (based on Ab testing)	1. Existing antibodies to test virus at screening or at day 0 2. Susceptible to rhinovirus type 39 (based on Ab testing)	alcoholic beverage, denatonium benzoate and tap water	419 7 groups (different extraction methods for herb + proprietary options)	IL-8	No difference between Ech and placebo
Kim 2002	USA, Americas	Celestial Seasonings inc., Larex inc. Iee Dexter and associates	DBPCR/CF	healthy volunteers	E. purpurea and E. angustifolia	Standardized extract of E. purpurea 1500 mg or L.P. + Ang Or ultra-refined EP + A (or larch arabinogalactan or Ech + larch)	4 weeks	1. Healthy females	1. Major illness and/or acute illness at enrollment or during study period 2. Taking immune- enhancing/altering supplements and/or medications	alfalfa and rice 48 8 in each of the 6 groups	TNF $\alpha$	1 reported anxiety, nervousness and ht palpitations; 1 reported bilateral arthritic symptoms No data reported on AE/safety	
Woeikar K. et al. (2006)	Austria, European Region	The study was sponsored by A. Vogel/Bioforce AG, Switzerland.	randomized, single-dose, crossover study, placebo controlled	Healthy adults both genders (30.2 ± 3.6 (SD) years of age with a body mass index (BMI) of 22.3 ± 2.7 (SD))	E.purpurea	4 mL Epipurea (Echinaforce®) tincture or 12 × 50 mg E. (Echinaforce®) tablets. Echinaforce® = hydro- alcoholic extract made from Echinacea purpurea, 95 % herb and 5% roots. (Both doses contained the same amount 0.07 mg of the major alkamides, dodeca-2E,4E,8Z,10E/Z- tetraenoicacid isobutyrylamides)	"Single dose (at 8:30 am, after over- night fasting) 1- week washout period between administrations of 1 of the 2 different formulations.	1. Healthy adults 2. No special diet 3. Obligated to refrain from coffee, alcohol and grapefruit juice 12 h before administration	1. Any progressive systemic illness including HIV, hepatitis B or C, tuberculosis, leukemia, connective tissue diseases, multiple sclerosis or other autoimmune diseases 2. History of relevant allergy, including allergy to plants of the species Compositae 3. Pregnancy	10 8 tested for each intervention, 2 tested with placebo	IL-8	Both forms led to a significant (p < 0.01) decrease in production in LPS pre- stimulated whole blood samples	
Ritchie M.R. et al. (2011)	UK, European Region	This research was founded and sponsored	open label study; ex- vivo analysis	*Healthy subject with 2+ colds per year;	E.purpurea	*First 5 days; oral administration of 4 × 1 - ml doses of	*10 days per study period (i.e. the stressful period and	1. Use of any other medication during study years 3, ≥ 2 colds	1. Healthy adults 2. Aged 18–57 years	n/a	30 30 (but 2	TNF $\alpha$	to a significant (p < 0.001) Decreased (p < 0.05) "No adverse events were observed

<p>By A. Vogel, Bioforce AG, Switzerland</p> <p>in response to UPSFEB or Zymosan stimulation</p> <p>during a period of increased stress (during academic examinations) and again 5 weeks later)</p>	<p>subjects were studied once during a period of increased stress (during academic examinations) and again 5 weeks later)</p> <p>Echinaforce® per day *Following 3 days: oral administration of 10 × 1-mL doses of Echinaforce® per day *Echinaforce® = hydro-alcoholic extract made from Echinacea purpurea, 95 % herb and 5 % roots.</p>	<p>the non-stressful period); 2 days of baseline measurements followed by 5 days of treatment followed by 3 days of examination (assessed by perceived stress score=10 questionnaire)</p>	<p>per year (not explicitly stated as inclusion criteria). 4. Experiencing heightened stress due to academic examination followed by 3 days of treatment followed by 1 X 10 mL dose, 1 X 10 mL dose.</p>	<p>2. Vigorous physical activity during study periods</p> <p>3. Excessive drinking or smoking during the study periods</p> <p>4. Heightened stress score=10</p>	<p>2. Onset of the skin at the puncture site*</p>	<p>excluded from the analysis for not strictly adhering to protocol)</p>	<p>2. Onset of the skin at the puncture site*</p>	<p>2. Onset of the skin at the puncture site*</p>	
<p>Whitehead 2007</p>	<p>USA, unclear</p>	<p>randomized- match, double-blind (first 12, randomized, rest assigned to make balanced groups base don baseline RBC count)</p>	<p>health adults</p>	<p>E. purpurea (Puritan's Pride)</p>	<p>8000 mg/day</p>	<p>28 days</p>	<p>1. Healthy and active male students 2. Aged 18–30 years</p>	<p>1. On medications or diet supplements 2. Using tobacco 3. Having signs/ symptoms of cardiovascular or metabolic disease</p>	
<p>Schwarz 2002</p>	<p>Germany, European Region</p>	<p>Supported by equally distributed grants from Shaper &amp; Bruennmer and two of the authors (C. Bodé and C. Bodé)</p>	<p>healthy males</p>	<p>E. purpurea, freshly expressed juice ; identical to the commercially available ESBERTOX, mono- ofSHAPER &amp; BRUEMMER (Salgitter, Germany)</p>	<p>not specified</p>	<p>14 days, washout, days</p>	<p>1. Healthy men 2. Aged 20–40 years</p>	<p>1. Acute or chronic disease, atopic diathesis, or acute infection in last month 2. Taking any immunomodulating drugs (NSAIDs) 3. Smoking and/or excess alcohol intake 4. Obesity</p>	
<p>Randolph 2003</p>	<p>USA, unclear</p>	<p>open label study</p>	<p>healthy adults</p>	<p>NUTRILITE Triple Guard Echinaceatablets</p>	<p>1518 mg/day</p>	<p>1518 mg for 2 days, 506 mg on third day</p>	<p>1. Adults aged 18–65 years 2. Non-smokers 3. Normally active 4. In good health based on interview and physical exam</p>	<p>1. Abstinence from smoking, eating and/or drinking other than water 12 h before wash-out period; between treatments was 2 weeks. Blood samples (5 mL)</p>	
<p>Guilotti P, et al. (2008)</p>	<p>Italy, European Region</p>	<p>Financial support from the DALCO s.r.l. and the Region Friuli Venezia Giulia University of Trieste, Italy, Karl Franzns University, Graz, Austria, University</p>	<p>Stated as single blind study but there was no placebo. So was open label</p>	<p>Healthy volunteers</p>	<p>Echinacea purple root extract</p>	<p>Single lozenge after overnight fasting. Dry extract containing dodeca-2E,4E,8Z,10Z- tetraenoic isobutyrylamides: 0.07 %</p>	<p>Doses were administered in increasing order; wash-out period: between treatments was 2 weeks. Blood samples (5 mL)</p>	<p>1. Abstinence from smoking, eating and/or drinking until the last blood sample was taken 180 week before to the end</p>	<p>1. On a special diet 2. Smoking, eating, and/or drinking other than water 12 h before administration 3. Taking medicine 1 week before to the end</p>

Table 2 (Continued)

Author	Country, WHO regio	Sponsorship source/ association	Design	Study Population	Echinacea spp	Dose	Duration of treatment	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Total Number of Subjects, N	Change in interferons (IFN)	Change in interleukins (IL)	Other safety outcomes
		of Ljubljana, Slovenia, and Cellular Immunology Laboratory, IRCCS Burlo Gatofolo, Trieste, Italy. Conflict declaration not made.		0.21 % and 0.9 % (w/w), No other details given.	collected in heparinised tubes were taken at 0 (before administration) and at 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 120 and 180 min after each dose.			min after ozone administration	of the study except for oral contraceptives	IL-8	Statistically significant decrease at all three dosage levels (p = 0.016).		
										IL-6	Statistically significant decrease at all three dosage levels (p = 0.036, 0.016).		
										TNF $\alpha$	Significant decrease at the higher dose of 0.90 mg (p = 0.022). Statistically significant decrease at the higher dose 0.90 mg (p = 0.036)		

pandemic influenza/ or Influenza C virus/ or influenza B/ or avian influenza/ or Influenza virus or SARS or MERS or respir\$ or Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus or severe acute respiratory syndrome/)

## 2.5. Search terms (example) -cytokine search

### 2.5.1. Medline (Ovid)

((Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/ OR randomized controlled trial/ OR Random Allocation/ OR Double Blind Method/ OR Single Blind Method/ OR clinical trial/ OR clinical trial, phase i. pt. OR clinical trial, phase ii.pt. OR clinical trial, phase iii.pt. OR clinical trial, phase iv.pt. OR controlled clinical trial.pt. OR randomized controlled trial.pt. OR multicenter study.pt. OR clinical trial.pt. OR exp Clinical Trials as topic/ OR (clinical adj trial\$).tw. OR ((singl\$ or doubl\$ or treb\$ or tripl\$) adj (blind\$3 or mask\$3)).tw. OR PLACEBOS/ OR placebo\$.tw. OR randomly allocated.tw. OR allocated adj2 random\$).tw.) NOT (letter/ OR historical article/)) AND (Echinacea or Echinacea angustifolia or Echinacea purpurea or Echinace or coneflower) AND (Cytokine\$ or cytokine storm or cytokine release syndrome or chemokine\$ or interferon\$ or interleukin\$ or tumor necrosis factor\$ or colony-stimulating factor\$)

## 2.6. Screening

Titles and abstract screening and full text screening were completed by one reviewer and checked for accuracy by a second reviewer. Similarly, data extraction was completed by a single reviewer and checked for accuracy by a second reviewer. Any discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

## 2.7. Critical appraisal

The risk of bias (RoB) of study findings was assessed using the revised Cochrane RoB tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) <https://sites.google.com/site/riskofbiastool/welcome/rob-2-0-tool/current-version-of-rob-2?authuser=0>.

## 2.8. Protocol registration

The protocol was registered with PROSPERO: [https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display\\_record.php?RecordID=186,339](https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.php?RecordID=186,339)

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Clinical efficacy search

The search identified 382 results, including 85 duplicates. 297 citations were screened. After title and abstract reviews, 37 citations remained and 260 citations were excluded, as these did not meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The full-text of the remaining 37 articles were assessed for eligibility and 23 were excluded (wrong study design n = 20, duplicate n = 1, not accessible n = 1, wrong outcome n = 1). Three additional studies were identified through a bibliography search. A total of 17 studies underwent data extraction (Table 1).

Ten studies were conducted in the World Health Organization (WHO) region of the Americas, with five in the European region, one in the Western Pacific region and one in the South-East Asia region.

All 17 studies were double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trials. One study had additional arms using open-label *Echinacea* and no treatment [4] and several studies had multiple arms comparing different *Echinacea* species, commercial formulas or doses [5–8]. Studies were designed to assess for the prevention

or treatment of ARI, primarily, the common cold. Six studies assessed the impact on prevention: four in normal daily life (duration 6–16 weeks), one in response to a strenuous exercise challenge (duration 4 weeks) (9) and one in response to long-distance air travel (duration 4 weeks) (10). Two studies assessed the impact of *Echinacea* 7 days before and 5–7 days after a viral challenge [8,11]. Nine studies assessed the use of *Echinacea* for 5–14 days in the treatment of a new onset respiratory tract infection, one in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) who were administered antibiotics concurrently and the remaining were conducted in healthy adults [5]. In all 17 studies, participants were located in the community (i.e. not in-patient settings).

In total, the 17 studies included 3363 participants with a mean sample size of 224 participants (SD = 229, range: 32–755).

Eleven studies used intervention formulas containing *E. purpurea*, two used *E. angustifolia*, four used a combination of *E. purpurea* and *E. angustifolia*, and one used *E. pallidae* radix.

*Echinacea* dose and method of extraction across all of the included studies were quite variable. Studies used different parts of the herb, including root, whole plant and aerial parts, as well as different methods of preparation. *Echinacea* interventions were delivered in the form of pressed juice, hydroalcohol extracts, capsules of dry herb and infusions. The lowest dose used was 100 mg of herb [12] while other studies used as much as 10.2 g per day in capsules on the first day of treatment [4]. Five studies reported using formulas that were standardized to include a specific amount of active constituent [6,12–14].

The studies assessed for ARI, viral respiratory infections or the common cold. The two studies that used a viral challenge administered rhinovirus 39 and monitored for the common cold [8,11].

The Cochrane Risk of Bias 2.0 assessment tool was used to evaluate the included studies. Of the six studies assessing prevention, four were rated low risk of bias [7,10,13,15] while two were rated high risk [9,16]. Among the two studies testing prevention and treatment in response to a viral challenge, one was rated high risk of bias [11] and one low risk of bias [8]. Among the nine studies assessing treatment of new onset infections, four were rated low [4,14,17,18], four rated high [5,6,19,20] and one was rated as having some concerns [12]. Reasons for a high risk of bias included per-protocol analysis [6,16], lack of description of dropouts [9], incomplete reporting of data [5,19], and lack of baseline data comparing the treatment groups [20]. One study terminated the study before recruiting the sample size needed to

detect significance based on a power calculation completed midway through the study [11]. These judgments should be taken into consideration when interpreting the findings of this review.

### 3.2. Cytokine search

The search identified 100 results, including 26 duplicates. 74 citations were screened. After title and abstract reviews, 18 citations remained and 56 citations were excluded as these did not meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The full-text of the remaining 18 articles were assessed for eligibility and six were excluded (protocol only n = 1, incorrect outcome n = 2, duplicate data from included publication n = 1, unable to locate full text n = 1). A total of 12 studies underwent data extraction (Table 2).

Of these, five included healthy participants who consumed oral doses of *Echinacea* before blood levels of cytokines were measured [21–25]. Three studies included participants with respiratory tract infections [4,5,8] and four included healthy participants whose ex vivo blood samples were stimulated and immune response observed [26,27,28,29]. The studies assessed cytokines including TNF $\alpha$  (n = 9), IL-1B, IL-2, IL-3 IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12 and Interferon (IFN) $\alpha$ 2.

### 3.3. Summary of findings

#### 3.3.1. Clinical efficacy

The six studies that administered *Echinacea* to healthy participants for two to four months and assessed prevention of naturally acquired upper respiratory tract infections (URIs), measured the frequency and/or duration of infections [7,9,10,13,15,16]. Five of these studies assessed infection frequency and of these, two reported a statistically significant reduction [10,13]. Three studies assessed duration of illness and of these, one reported a statistically significant decrease [9].

In the two studies that provided *Echinacea* supplementation before and after study-administered viral challenge, one reported no difference in infection frequency or severity compared to placebo [8].

The nine studies assessing the use of *Echinacea* at the onset of a URTI measured infection duration and symptom severity [4–6,12,14,17–20]. All studies assessed for impact on symptom severity and five reported statistically significant reductions in symptom severity [4,6,14,19,20]. A sixth study, that included participants with COPD experiencing an acute exacerbation of respiratory symptoms, found a reduction in severity in response to

**Table 3**

Number of studies reporting increased or decreased levels of cytokines following *Echinacea* use.

Cytokine	Impact on Inflammation Levels and Cytokine storm (CS)	Studies reporting increased levels	Studies reporting no effect on levels	Studies reporting decreased levels
TNF $\alpha$	Proinflammatory Key CS contributor		2 studies (5, 29)	7 studies (21–26)
IL-1B	Proinflammatory Key CS contributor		1 study (29)	2 studies (24, 27)
IL-6	Proinflammatory Key CS contributor		1 study (28)	3 studies (21, 25, 26)
IL-8	Proinflammatory	1 study(26) and 1 study, only in patients with low baseline levels (27)	2 studies (4, 8)	4 studies (21, 24, 25, 28)
IL-12	Proinflammatory			1 study (25)
IFN- $\alpha$	Key CS contributor	1 study, only in patients with low baseline levels (27)		
IL-10	Anti-inflammatory Role in regulating pro-inflammatory responses	2 studies (21, 27)	1 study (5)	1 study (25)
IL-3	Not associated with CS	1 study (23)		
IL-2	Not associated with CS	1 study (26)		1 study (21)

supplementation with *Echinacea* in combination with zinc, selenium and ascorbic acid but not for *Echinacea* alone [5]. Seven of the studies using *Echinacea* at URTI symptom onset assessed the duration of symptoms and five reported a statistically significant reduction in duration compared to participants receiving placebo [4,14,18–20].

With respect to risk of bias, of the ten studies that reported a positive outcome, five were rated as high risk of bias [5,6,9,19,20] and five were rated as low risk of bias [4,10,13,14,18].

Among the 13 studies that reported intervention dose with an equivalent dose of dry herb (or a liquid extraction and extraction strength), the mean dose was calculated. In cases where a range or variable doses were given, the highest doses was selected. The mean dose used in studies reporting benefit was 7.3 g per day (SD 6.4) and the mean dose used in studies that failed to detect benefit was 1.7 g per day (SD 2.1). The studies reporting benefit used *E. purpurea* ( $n = 6$ ) or a combination of *E. purpurea* and *E. angustifolia* ( $n = 3$ ) or *E. pallidae* radix ( $n = 1$ ). Of the five studies using extracts with a standardized level of active constituents, four reported benefit. These active constituents included dodecatetraenoic acid, isobutylamide, alkylamides, cichoric acid and soluble -1,2-D-fructofuranosides [6,10,12–14].

### 3.3.2. Cytokine search

**Table 3** presents the number of studies showing statistically significant increases or decreases in different pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine levels in response to *Echinacea* supplementation in 12 clinical trials.

None of the clinical trials included in this review reported occurrence of cytokine storm or other immune or inflammatory disturbance which could be attributed to the *Echinacea* intervention.

While seven studies did not report adverse events, the remainder reported few adverse effects, in most cases similar to the control group. One reported a serious reaction involving generalized erythema which resolved with anti-histamine treatment [5] and mild adverse events of which insomnia was the most common. Another reported primarily gastro-intestinal side effects [8] and another reported one case of anxiety and nervousness and a recurrence of bilateral arthritis symptoms which the patient had previously experienced [22].

### 3.4. Clinical significance

*Echinacea* supplementation may assist with the symptoms of ARI and the common cold, particularly when administered at the first sign of infection; however, no studies have been identified which use *Echinacea* in the prevention or treatment of conditions similar to COVID-19. When taken at the onset of symptoms, *Echinacea* may decrease the severity or duration of ARI.

Because the vast majority of studies involved participants who were free from serious or chronic illness, and without known issues related to immune function, it is not possible to infer what the role of *Echinacea* spp. could be in those at highest risk of COVID-19.

With respect to the impact of *Echinacea* on cytokine levels, the majority of evidence suggests a decrease in levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines associated with cytokine storm. While the potential for *Echinacea* to provide a clinical therapeutic benefit is speculative, animal studies using pharmaceuticals that decrease production of IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-6 and TNF $\alpha$  cytokines have increased survival of mice infected with severe influenza [2], and SARS-CoV [3]. Tocilizumab, an anti-IL-6 receptor antibody, is being studied in the treatment of cytokine storm in COVID-19 patients with elevated IL-6 levels [3]. Research of the use of *Echinacea* in cytokine storm may be warranted.

### Disclaimer

This article should not replace individual clinical judgment. The views expressed in this rapid review are the views of the authors and not necessarily from the host institutions. The views are not a substitute for professional medical advice.

### Declaration of Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### References

- [1] G. Kembuan, W. Lie, A. Tumimor, Potential usage of immune modulating supplements of the *Echinacea* genus for COVID-19 infection, *Int. J. Med. Rev. Case Rep.* 4 (2020) 1, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/ijmrcr.immune-modulating-supplements-echinacea-genus-covid-19-infection Reports in Clinical Medicine and...>
- [2] J.R. Tisoncik, M.J. Korth, C.P. Simmons, J. Farrar, T.R. Martin, M.G. Katze, Into the eye of the cytokine storm," *Microbiol. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 76 (March (1)) (2012) 16–32.
- [3] F. Coperchini, L. Chiovato, L. Croce, F. Magri, M. Rotondi, The cytokine storm in COVID-19: an overview of the involvement of the chemokine/chemokine-receptor system, *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 53 (2020) 25–32, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cytoogr.2020.05.003>.
- [4] B. Barrett, et al., *Echinacea* for treating the common cold, *Ann. Intern. Med.* 153 (12) (2010) 769, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-153-12-201012210-00003>.
- [5] F. Isbaniah, W.H. Wiyono, F. Yunus, A. Setiawati, U. Totzke, M.A. Verbruggen, *Echinacea purpurea* along with zinc, selenium and vitamin C to alleviate exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: results from a randomized controlled trial, *J. Clin. Pharm. Ther.* 36(October(5))(2011)568–576.
- [6] V. Goel, et al., A proprietary extract from the *echinacea* plant (*Echinacea purpurea*) enhances systemic immune response during a common cold, *Phytother. Res.* 19 (8) (2005) 689–694, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ptr.1733>.
- [7] D. Melchart, E. Walther, K. Linde, R. Brandmaier, C. Lersch, *Echinacea* root extracts for the prevention of upper respiratory tract infections: a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial, *Arch. Fam. Med.* 7 (November (6)) (1998) 541–545.
- [8] R.B. Turner, R. Bauer, K. Woelkart, T.C. Hulsey, J. David Gangemi, An evaluation of *Echinacea angustifolia* in experimental rhinovirus infections, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 353 (4) (2005) 341–348, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa044441>.
- [9] H. Hall, M. Fahlman, H. Engels, *Echinacea purpurea* and mucosal immunity, *Int. J. Sports Med.* 28 (9) (2007) 792–797, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-964895>.
- [10] E. Tiralongo, R.A. Lea, S.S. Wee, M.M. Hanna, L.R. Griffiths, Randomised, double Blind, placebo-controlled trial of *Echinacea* supplementation in air travellers, *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2012 (2012) 1–9, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/417267>.
- [11] S.J. Sperber, L.P. Shah, R.D. Gilbert, T.W. Ritchey, A.S. Monto, *Echinacea purpurea* for prevention of experimental rhinovirus colds, *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 38 (10) (2004) 1367–1371, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/386324>.
- [12] S.H. Yale, K. Liu, *Echinacea purpurea* therapy for the treatment of the common cold: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial, *Arch. Intern. Med.* 164 (June (11)) (2004) 1237–1241.
- [13] M. Jawad, R. Schoop, A. Suter, P. Klein, R. Eccles, Safety and efficacy profile of *Echinacea purpurea* to prevent common cold episodes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2012 (2012) 1–7, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/841315>.
- [14] V. Goel, et al., Efficacy of a standardized echinacea preparation (EchinilinTM) for the treatment of the common cold: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, *J. Clin. Pharm. Ther.* 29 (1) (2004) 75–83, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2710.2003.00542.x>.
- [15] W. Grimm, H.-H. Müller, A randomized controlled trial of the effect of fluid extract of *Echinacea purpurea* on the incidence and severity of colds and respiratory infections \*\*Access the 'Journal Club' discussion of this paper at <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ajmselect/>, *Am. J. Med.* 106 (2) (1999) 138–143, doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9343\(98\)00406-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9343(98)00406-9).
- [16] J. O'Neil, S. Hughes, A. Lourie, J. Zweifler, Effects of *echinacea* on the frequency of upper respiratory tract symptoms: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 100 (4) (2008) 384–388, doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1081-1206\(10\)60603-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1081-1206(10)60603-5).
- [17] B.P. Barrett, Treatment of the common cold with unrefined *Echinacea*, *Ann. Intern. Med.* 137 (12) (2002) 939, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-137-12-200212170-00006>.
- [18] B. Schulten, M. Bulitta, B. Ballering-Brühl, U. Köster, M. Schäfer, Efficacy of *Echinacea purpurea* in patients with a common cold, *Arzneimittelforschung* 51 (07) (2011) 563–568, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1300080>.
- [19] M. Dorn, E. Knick, G. Lewith, Placebo-controlled, double-blind study of *Echinaceae pallidae* radix in upper respiratory tract infections, *Complement.*

- Ther. Med. 5 (1) (1997) 40–42, doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0965-2299\(97\)80089-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0965-2299(97)80089-1).
- [20] G.F. Lindenmuth, G. Frank Lindenmuth, E.B. Lindenmuth, The efficacy of Echinacea compound herbal tea preparation on the severity and duration of upper respiratory and flu symptoms: a randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled study, J. Altern. Complement. Med. 6 (4) (2000) 327–334, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/10755530050120691>.
- [21] S. Dall'Acqua, et al., Pharmacokinetics and immunomodulatory effect of lipophilic Echinacea extract formulated in softgel capsules, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 97 (2015) 8–14, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.09.021>.
- [22] L.S. Kim, R.F. Waters, P.M. Burkholder, Immunological activity of larch arabinogalactan and Echinacea: a preliminary, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, Altern. Med. Rev. 7 (April (2)) (2002) 138–149.
- [23] M.T. Whitehead, T.D. Martin, T.P. Scheett, M.J. Webster, The effect of 4 wk of oral echinacea supplementation on serum erythropoietin and indices of erythropoietic status, Int. J. Sport Nutr. Exerc. Metab. 17 (August (4)) (2007) 378–390.
- [24] R.K. Randolph, et al., Regulation of human immune gene expression as influenced by a commercial blended Echinacea product: preliminary studies, Exp. Biol. Med. 228 (October (9)) (2003) 1051–1056.
- [25] P. Guiotto, et al., Pharmacokinetics and immunomodulatory effects of phytotherapeutic lozenges (bonbons) with *Echinacea purpurea* extract, Phytomedicine 15 (August (8)) (2008) 547–554.
- [26] B. Dapas, et al., Immunomodulation mediated by a herbal syrup containing a standardized *Echinacea* root extract: a pilot study in healthy human subjects on cytokine gene expression, Phytomedicine 21 (11) (2014) 1406–1410, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2014.04.034>.
- [27] M.R. Ritchie, J. Gertsch, P. Klein, R. Schoop, Effects of Echinaforce® treatment on ex vivo-stimulated blood cells, Phytomedicine. 18 (July (10)) (2011) 826–831.
- [28] K. Woelkart, et al., Bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of *Echinacea purpurea* preparations and their interaction with the immune system, Int. Journal of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics 44 (09) (2006) 401–408, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.5414/cpp44401>.
- [29] E. Schwarz, J. Metzler, J.P. Diedrich, J. Freudenstein, C. Bode, J.C. Bode, Oral administration of freshly expressed juice of *Echinacea purpurea* herbs fail to stimulate the nonspecific immune response in healthy young men: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study, J. Immunother. 25 (September (5)) (2002) 413–420.